



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory,
Series A

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta



CrossMark

On zero-sum subsequences of length $k \exp(G)$ Weidong Gao^a, Dongchun Han^a, Jiangtao Peng^b, Fang Sun^c^a Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, PR China^b College of Science, Civil Aviation University of China, Tianjin 300300, PR China^c The School of Economics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 June 2013

Available online 4 April 2014

Keywords:

Zero-sum sequence

Davenport constant

Zero-sum free sequence

ABSTRACT

Let G be an additive finite abelian group of exponent $\exp(G)$. For every positive integer k , let $s_{k \exp(G)}(G)$ denote the smallest integer t such that every sequence over G of length t contains a zero-sum subsequence of length $k \exp(G)$. We prove that if $\exp(G)$ is sufficiently larger than $\frac{|G|}{\exp(G)}$ then $s_{k \exp(G)}(G) = k \exp(G) + D(G) - 1$ for all $k \geq 2$, where $D(G)$ is the Davenport constant of G .

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let G be an additive finite abelian group with exponent $\exp(G) = m$. Let $D(G)$ denote the Davenport constant of G , which is defined as the smallest integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains a nonempty zero-sum subsequence. For every positive integer k , let $s_{km}(G)$ denote the smallest integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains a zero-sum subsequence of length km . For $k = 1$, we abbreviate $s_m(G)$ to $s(G)$ which is called the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant of G . The invariant $s(G)$ has been studied by many authors (for example, see [1,2,5,6,8,9,17,16,23,24,27,29,30]). The famous Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv theorem [7] asserts that

E-mail addresses: wdgao@nankai.edu.cn (W.D. Gao), han-qingfeng@163.com (D.C. Han), jtpeng@aliyun.com (J.T. Peng), sunfang2005@163.com (F. Sun).

$s_{|G|}(G) \leq 2|G| - 1$ and the equality holds for cyclic groups. In 1996, the first author [11] proved that

$$s_{km}(G) = km + D(G) - 1$$

provided that $km \geq |G|$.

Let T be a zero-sum free sequence over G of length $|T| = D(G) - 1$ and let

$$S = 0^{km-1}T.$$

Clearly, S contains no zero-sum subsequence of length km . Therefore,

$$s_{km}(G) \geq km + D(G) - 1 \quad (1.1)$$

holds for every $k \geq 1$.

The first author and Thangadurai [15] noticed that if $km < D(G)$ then $s_{km}(G) > km + D(G) - 1$, and introduced the invariant $\ell(G)$ which is defined as the smallest integer t such that $s_{km}(G) = km + D(G) - 1$ holds for every $k \geq t$. From the above we know that

$$\frac{D(G)}{m} \leq \ell(G) \leq \frac{|G|}{m}. \quad (1.2)$$

For cyclic groups G , we clearly have $\ell(G) = 1$ by the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv theorem. For finite abelian groups G of rank two we can deduce that $\ell(G) = 2$ from some known results (see Proposition 4.1). For finite abelian p -groups, $s_{km}(G)$ has been studied in [10,15,25]. For related papers we refer to [4,22,32]. Our main result in this paper is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be an arbitrary finite abelian group with $\exp(H) = m \geq 2$, and let $G = C_{mn} \oplus H$. If $n \geq 2m|H| + 2|H|$, then $s_{kmn}(G) = kmn + D(G) - 1$ for all positive integers $k \geq 2$, and therefore $\ell(G) = 2$.*

2. Preliminaries

Our notation and terminology are consistent with [13] and [20]. We briefly gather some key notions and fix the notations concerning sequences over finite abelian groups. Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For any two integers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : a \leq x \leq b\}$. Throughout this paper, all abelian groups will be written additively, and for $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n , and denote by C_n^r the direct sum of r copies of C_n .

Let G be a finite abelian group and $\exp(G)$ its exponent. A sequence S over G will be written in the form

$$S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell = \prod_{g \in G} g^{v_g(S)}, \quad \text{with } v_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for all } g \in G,$$

and we call

$$|S| = \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{the length} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i = \sum_{g \in G} v_g(S)g \in G \quad \text{the sum of } S.$$

Let $\text{supp}(S) = \{g \in G: v_g(S) > 0\}$. For every $r \in [1, \ell]$ define

$$\Sigma_r(S) = \{\sigma(T): T \mid S, |T| = r\}$$

where $T \mid S$ means T is a subsequence of S .

The sequence S is called

- a *zero-sum sequence* if $\sigma(S) = 0$.
- a *short zero-sum sequence* over G if it is a zero-sum sequence of length $|S| \in [1, \exp(G)]$.

For every element $g \in G$, we set $g + S = (g + g_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot (g + g_\ell)$. If $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism, then $\varphi(S) = \varphi(g_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot \varphi(g_\ell)$ is a zero-sum sequence if and only if $\sigma(S) \in \ker(\varphi)$.

Let $\eta(G)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains a short zero-sum subsequence.

Lemma 2.1. (See [19, Theorem 4.2.7].) We have $\eta(G) \leq |G|$ and $s(G) \leq |G| + \exp(G) - 1$.

Lemma 2.2. Let n, k, t be three positive integers with $2 \leq t < \frac{n}{2} + 1$, and let S be a sequence over C_n of length $|S| = (k+1)n - t$. Suppose that S contains no zero-sum subsequence of length kn . Then, there exist two distinct elements $a, b \in C_n$ such that

$$v_a(S) + v_b(S) \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2. \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, if $2 \leq t < \frac{n+5}{3}$, then the pair of $\{a, b\}$ satisfying inequality (2.1) is uniquely determined by S .

Proof. We can prove the existence of $\{a, b\}$ satisfying (2.1) in a similar way to the proof of [29, Theorem 5] and we omit it here.

Now assume that $1 < t < \frac{n+5}{3}$. Suppose that $v_c(S) + v_d(S) \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2$ for another pair $\{c, d\} \neq \{a, b\}$. Since $0 \notin \sum_{kn}(S)$, $v_g(S) \leq kn - 1$ for every $g \in C_n$. It follows that $v_g(S) \geq n - 2t + 3$ for every $g \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $c \notin \{a, b\}$. Therefore, $v_a(S) + v_b(S) + v_c(S) \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2 + (n - 2t + 3) > (k+1)n - t = |S|$, yielding a contradiction. Hence $\{a, b\}$ is the unique pair satisfying (2.1). \square

We also need the following easy result which is a straightforward consequence of [19, Lemma 4.2.5] and we omit the proof here.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let H be a finite abelian group with $\exp(H) \mid m$. Let $G = C_{mn} \oplus H$. Then, $D(G) \leq mn + \eta(C_m \oplus H) - m \leq mn + m|H| - m$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As mentioned in the Introduction, $s_{kmn}(G) \geq kmn + D(G) - 1$. It suffices to prove that $s_{kmn}(G) \leq kmn + D(G) - 1$. Let S be any sequence over G of length $|S| = kmn + D(G) - 1$. We need to show that S contains a zero-sum subsequence of length kmn .

Assume to the contrary that S contains no zero-sum subsequence of length kmn . Let $\varphi : G = C_{mn} \oplus H \rightarrow C_m \oplus H$ be the natural homomorphism with $\ker(\varphi) = C_n$ (up to isomorphism).

By applying $s(\varphi(C_{mn} \oplus H)) = s(C_m \oplus H)$ on $\varphi(S)$ repeatedly, we can get a decomposition $S = S_1 \cdot \dots \cdot S_r \cdot S'$ with

$$|S_i| = m, \quad \sigma(S_i) \in \ker(\varphi) \text{ for every } i \in [1, r] \quad (3.1)$$

and $s(C_m \oplus H) - m \leq |S'| \leq s(C_m \oplus H) - 1$. Therefore,

$$r = \left\lceil \frac{|S| - s(C_m \oplus H) + 1}{m} \right\rceil. \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$U = \sigma(S_1)\sigma(S_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(S_r).$$

It follows from $0 \notin \Sigma_{kmn}(S)$ that $0 \notin \Sigma_{kn}(U)$. Since $D(G) \geq mn$ and $s(C_m \oplus H) \leq m \cdot |H| + m - 1$ by Lemma 2.1, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} |U| = r &\geq \frac{|S| - s(C_m \oplus H) + 1}{m} \\ &\geq \frac{(kmn + D(G) - 1) - s(C_m \oplus H) + 1}{m} \\ &\geq \frac{kmn + mn - (m \cdot |H| + m - 1)}{m} \\ &= (k+1)n - |H| - \frac{m-1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|U| = r \geq (k+1)n - |H| - \frac{m-1}{m}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let

$$t = (k+1)n - r.$$

Since $0 \notin \Sigma_{kn}(U)$, $r = |U| \leq (k+1)n - 2$ by the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv theorem. It follows that $t \geq 2$. By (3.3) and the hypothesis that $n \geq 2m|H| + 2|H| > 5|H|$, we get

$$t \leq \frac{n}{5} < \frac{n+5}{3}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists a unique pair of $\{a, b\}$ such that

$$v_a(U) + v_b(U) \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2.$$

Denote by Ω the set consisting of all decompositions of S satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). Choose a decomposition

$$S = S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdot \dots \cdot S_r \cdot S' \in \Omega$$

such that $v_a(U) + v_b(U)$ attains the minimal value. Let

$$\ell = v_a(U) + v_b(U).$$

By renumbering if necessary we assume that $\sigma(S_i) \in \{a, b\}$ for all $i \in [1, \ell]$. Let

$$W = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i.$$

From $t < \frac{n+5}{3}$ and $n \geq 2m|H| + 2|H|$ we derive that

$$\ell \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2 > m.$$

Claim 3.1. *Let W_0 be a subsequence of W of length $|W_0| = m$. If $\sigma(W_0) \in \ker(\varphi)$ then $\sigma(W_0) \in \{a, b\}$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $\sigma(W_0) \notin \{a, b\}$. Since $|W_0| = m$, by renumbering we may assume that $W_0 \mid S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdot \dots \cdot S_v$ for some $v \in [1, m]$. Then S has a decomposition

$$S = S_{v+1} \cdot S_{v+2} \cdot \dots \cdot S_r \cdot W_0 \cdot S'_2 \cdot S'_3 \cdot \dots \cdot S'_v \cdot S'' \in \Omega$$

where $|S'_i| = m$ and $\sigma(S'_i) \in \ker(\varphi)$ for every $i \in [2, v]$.

Let

$$U_1 = \sigma(S_{v+1}) \cdot \sigma(S_{v+2}) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(S_r) \cdot \sigma(W_0) \cdot \sigma(S'_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(S'_v).$$

It follows from $0 \notin \Sigma_{kmn}(S)$ that $0 \notin \Sigma_{kn}(U_1)$. By Lemma 2.2, there is a unique pair of $\{a_1, b_1\}$ such that

$$v_{a_1}(U_1) + v_{b_1}(U_1) \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2.$$

Since $0 \notin \Sigma_{kn}(U_1)$, we have $v_{a_1}(U_1) \leq kn - 1$ and $v_{b_1}(U_1) \leq kn - 1$. It follows that

$$v_{a_1}(U_1) \geq n - 2t + 3 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{b_1}(U_1) \geq n - 2t + 3.$$

If $a_1 \notin \{a, b\}$, then $r = |U_1| \geq v_a(U_1) + v_b(U_1) + v_{a_1}(U_1) \geq v_a(U) + v_b(U) - v + v_{a_1}(U_1) \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2 - v + n - 2t + 3 \geq (k+1)n - t + (n - 3t - m + 5) > (k+1)n - t = r$, a contradiction. Therefore, $a_1 \in \{a, b\}$. Similarly, $b_1 \in \{a, b\}$. Hence, $\{a_1, b_1\} = \{a, b\}$. But $v_a(U_1) + v_b(U_1) < v_a(U) + v_b(U)$, a contradiction to the minimality of U . This proves [Claim 3.1](#). \square

For every $h \in \varphi(G) = C_m \oplus H$, let W_h be the subsequence of W such that $\varphi(W_h) = h^{v_h(\varphi(W))}$.

Claim 3.2. *If $|W_h| \geq m + 1$ then $|\text{supp}(W_h)| \leq 2$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $|W_h| \geq m + 1$ and $|\text{supp}(W_h)| \geq 3$ for some $h \in \varphi(G) = C_m \oplus H$. Take three distinct elements $g_0, g_1, g_2 \in \text{supp}(W_h)$. Let W' be a subsequence of $W_h(g_0g_1g_2)^{-1}$ of length $|W'| = m - 2$. Then, $W'g_0g_1, W'g_0g_2$ and $W'g_1g_2$ are three subsequences of W_h each having sum in $\ker(\varphi) = C_n$. But the sums $\sigma(W'g_0g_1), \sigma(W'g_0g_2), \sigma(W'g_1g_2)$ are pairwise distinct, a contradiction to [Claim 3.1](#). This proves [Claim 3.2](#). \square

So, for every $|W_h| \geq m + 1$ we have

$$W_h = x_h^{u_h} y_h^{v_h},$$

where $x_h, y_h \in G$, $u_h \geq v_h \geq 0$ and $u_h + v_h = |W_h| = v_h(\varphi(W))$.

Write

$$u_h = p_h m + r_h \quad \text{and} \quad v_h = q_h m + s_h$$

where $p_h, r_h, q_h, s_h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r_h, s_h \in [0, m - 1]$.

For every $h \in \varphi(G) = C_m \oplus H$ with $|W_h| \geq m + 1$, W_h has the following decomposition

$$W_h = \underbrace{x_h^m \cdots x_h^m}_{p_h} \underbrace{y_h^m \cdots y_h^m}_{q_h} (x_h^{r_h} y_h^{s_h}).$$

Let

$$W' = \prod_{h \in C_m \oplus H, |W_h| \geq m+1} \underbrace{x_h^m \cdots x_h^m}_{p_h} \underbrace{y_h^m \cdots y_h^m}_{q_h} = T_1 T_2 \cdots T_f$$

where $f = \sum_{h \in C_m \oplus H, |W_h| \geq m+1} (p_h + q_h)$ and for each $i \in [1, f]$ we have $T_i = x_h^m$ or $T_i = y_h^m$ for some $h \in C_m \oplus H$.

Let

$$R = \prod_{i=1}^f \sigma(T_i).$$

It follows from [Claim 3.1](#) that $\text{supp}(R) \subseteq \{a, b\}$. Without loss of generality we assume that

$$v_a(R) \geq v_b(R).$$

Let $\lambda = v_a(R)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = v_a(R) &\geq \frac{|R|}{2} \\ &= \frac{f}{2} = \frac{\sum_{h \in C_m \oplus H, |W_h| \geq m+1} (p_h + q_h)}{2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{h \in C_m \oplus H, |W_h| \geq m+1} (|W_h| - r_h - s_h)}{2m} \\ &= \frac{|W| - \sum_{h \in C_m \oplus H, |W_h| \geq m+1} (r_h + s_h) - \sum_{h \in C_m \oplus H, |W_h| \leq m} |W_h|}{2m} \\ &\geq \frac{|W| - (2m-2)|C_m \oplus H|}{2m} \geq \frac{kn + (n-2m|H|)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\lambda \geq \frac{kn + (n-2m|H|)}{2}. \quad (3.4)$$

By renumbering we may assume that

$$\sigma(T_1) = \cdots = \sigma(T_\lambda) = a.$$

Let $T_1 = x^m$ and $S' = -x + S$. Then

$$S' = T'_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot T'_\lambda S'',$$

where $T'_i = -x + T_i$ for every $i \in [1, \lambda]$, and $T'_1 = 0^m$, $\sigma(T'_i) = 0$ for each $i \in [1, \lambda]$.

By [\(3.4\)](#) and the hypothesis of the theorem we have

$$|T'_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot T'_\lambda| = m\lambda \geq D(G) - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$|S''| = |S| - |T'_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot T'_\lambda| = kmn + D(G) - 1 - |T'_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot T'_\lambda| \leq kmn.$$

Let S_0 be the maximal (in length) zero-sum subsequence of S'' . Then, $|S''| - |S_0| = |S''S_0^{-1}| \leq D(G) - 1$. Hence,

$$|S''| - D(G) + 1 \leq |S_0| \leq |S''| \leq kmn.$$

Note that $|0^m T'_2 \cdots T'_{\lambda} S_0| = |S| - |S''| + |S_0| = kmn + D(G) - 1 - (|S''| - |S_0|) \geq kmn$ and $|S_0| \leq kmn$, there exist $m' \in [0, m]$ and $\lambda' \in [0, \lambda]$ such that

$$|0^{m'} T'_2 \cdots T'_{\lambda'} S_0| = kmn.$$

So, $0^{m'} T'_2 \cdots T'_{\lambda'} S_0$ is a zero-sum subsequence of length kmn and therefore $x^{m'} T_2 \cdots T_{\lambda'}(x + S_0)$ is a zero-sum subsequence of S , a contradiction. This proves that $s_{kmn}(G) = kmn + D(G) - 1$ for every $k \geq 2$. Now $\ell(G) = 2$ follows from (1.2).

4. Concluding remarks and open problems

In this section we shall give some concluding remarks and some open problems. For finite abelian groups of rank two we have

Proposition 4.1. *Let $G = C_m \oplus C_n$ with $1 < m \mid n$. Then, $\ell(G) = 2$.*

Let G be a finite abelian group and let d be a positive integer. Let $s_{d\mathbb{N}}(G)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence over G of length at least t contains a zero-sum subsequence of length divided by d .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $G = C_m \oplus C_n$ with $1 < m \mid n$. Then,*

- (1) $s(G) = 2n + 2m - 3$ (see [20, Theorem 5.8.3]),
- (2) $s_{n\mathbb{N}}(G) = 2n + m - 2$ (see [21, Theorem 5.2]).

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For any positive integer $k \geq 2$, it suffices to prove that $s_{kn}(G) \leq kn + D(G) - 1$. Let S be a sequence over G of length $kn + D(G) - 1 = kn + n + m - 2$. We need to prove that S contains a zero-sum subsequence of length kn .

We proceed by induction on k . For $k = 2$, by Lemma 4.2(1), S contains a zero-sum subsequence S_1 of length n . Since $3n > |SS_1^{-1}| = 2n + m - 2$, by Lemma 4.2(2), SS_1^{-1} contains a zero-sum subsequence S_2 of length $|S_2| \in \{n, 2n\}$. Therefore, either $S_1 S_2$ or S_2 is a zero-sum subsequence of S of length $2n$.

Now suppose that the proposition holds for $k = r$, we want to prove it for $k = r + 1$. By Lemma 4.2(1), S contains a zero-sum subsequence T_1 of length n . Since $|ST_1^{-1}| = (r + 1)n + D(G) - 1 - n = rn + D(G) - 1$, by induction hypothesis, ST_1^{-1} contains a zero-sum subsequence T_2 of length $|T_2| = rn$. So, $T_1 T_2$ is a zero-sum subsequence of S of length $|T_1 T_2| = (r + 1)n$. \square

Let $r \in [1, D(G) - 1]$, and let S be a sequence over G of length $|S| = |G| + r - 1$ with $0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S)$. In 1999, Bollobás and Leader [3] considered the problem of bounding $|\Sigma_{|G|}(S)|$ from below.

For every $r \in [1, D(G) - 1]$, define

$$f(G; r) = \max\{|\Sigma(T)| : |T| = r, T \text{ is a zero-sumfree sequence over } G\}.$$

$f(G; r)$ has been studied recently by several authors (for example, see [14,18,26]).

Proposition 4.3. *Let H be an arbitrary finite abelian group with $\exp(H) = m \geq 2$, and let $G = C_{mn} \oplus H$. Let $r \in [1, D(G) - 1]$ and $k \geq 3$, and let S be a sequence over G of length $|S| = kmn + r - 1$. Suppose that $n \geq 2m|H| + 2|H|$. If $0 \notin \Sigma_{kmn}(S)$ then $|\Sigma_{kmn}(S)| \geq f(G; r)$.*

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we can find an element $x \in G$ such that $x + S$ has a factorization

$$x + S = T'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T'_\lambda S''$$

with $T'_1 = 0^m$, $\sigma(T'_i) = 0$ and $|T'_i| = m$ for each $i \in [1, \lambda]$, and

$$\lambda \geq \frac{(k-1)n + (n - 2m|H|)}{2}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, $r \leq D(G) \leq mn + m|H| - m$. It follows from $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2m|H| + 2|H|$ that

$$|S''| \leq kmn.$$

Let S_0 be the maximal (in length) zero-sum subsequence of S'' . Then,

$$|S''| - |S_0| = |S''S_0^{-1}| \leq D(G) - 1.$$

If $\lambda m + |S_0| = |T'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T'_\lambda S_0| \geq kmn$, then similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we can prove that $0 \in \Sigma_{kmn}(x + S) = \Sigma_{kmn}(S)$, a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\lambda m + |S_0| = |T'_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T'_\lambda S_0| \leq kmn.$$

Hence,

$$|S''S_0^{-1}| \geq r.$$

Let W be an arbitrary subsequence of $S''S_0^{-1}$ of length $|W| = r$, and let $W' = S''S_0^{-1}W^{-1}$. Then,

$$x + S = 0^m T'_2 \cdot \dots \cdot T'_\lambda S_0 W' W.$$

From the maximality of S_0 we know that $W'W$ is zero-sum free. So, W is a zero-sum free sequence of length r . Hence,

$$|\Sigma(W)| \geq f(G; r).$$

For every $y \in \Sigma(W)$, there is a nonempty subsequence $W_0 \mid W$ such that $y = \sigma(W_0)$. Therefore, $\sigma(W') + y = \sigma(W'W_0) = \sigma(0^m T'_2 \cdot \dots \cdot T'_\lambda S_0 W'W_0)$. Note that $|0^m T'_2 \cdot \dots \cdot T'_\lambda S_0 W'W_0| = |S| - |WW_0^{-1}| \geq kmn$, in a similar way to the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), we can prove that $\sigma(W') + y \in \Sigma_{kmn}(x + S) = \Sigma_{kmn}(S)$. This proves that $|\Sigma_{kmn}(S)| \geq |\sigma(W') + \Sigma(W)| = |\Sigma(W)| \geq f(G; r)$. \square

We end the paper by discussing some conjectures related to the problems we investigated.

Conjecture 4.4. (See [\[15\]](#).) For every non-cyclic finite abelian group G the sequence

$$\{s_{km}(G) - km\}_{k=1}^{\ell(G)-1}$$

is strictly decreasing.

Conjecture 4.5. (See [\[25\]](#).) If $G = C_n^r$ then $s_{kn}(G) = kn + r(n - 1)$ holds for every positive integer $k \geq r$.

Let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = m$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\eta_{km}(G)$ denote the smallest integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| \in [1, km]$.

Conjecture 4.6. Let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = m$. Then, $s_{km}(G) = \eta_{km}(G) + km - 1$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $k = 1$, [Conjecture 4.6](#) was formulated by the first author in [\[12\]](#). If $km \geq D(G)$, we clearly have that $\eta_{km}(G) = D(G)$. So, [Conjecture 4.6](#), if true, together with [\(1.2\)](#) would imply the following

Conjecture 4.7. Let G be a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) = m$. Then, $\ell(G) = \lceil \frac{D(G)}{m} \rceil$.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the 973 Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834204), and the National Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11271207 and 11301531). We would like to thank the referees for their very carefully reading and very useful suggestions.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2.2

For every sequence S over a finite abelian group G , let

$$h(S) = \max\{v_g(S), g \in G\}.$$

Lemma A.1. (See [19, Proposition 4.2.6].) *If S is a sequence over G of length $|S| \geq |G|$ then S contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| \in [1, h(S)]$.*

Let $G = C_n$. For a sequence $S = (x_1g) \cdot (x_2g) \cdot \dots \cdot (x_\ell g)$, where $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_i \in [1, \text{ord}(g)]$, let

$$L_g(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma A.2. (See [28,31].) *Let $G = C_n$. Let S be a zero-sum free sequence of length greater than $\frac{n}{2}$. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $L_g(S) < n$.*

Lemma A.3. (See [29, Proposition 3].) *Let $G = C_n$, and let S be a zero-sum free sequence over G . Suppose that there exists $g \in G$ such that $L_g(S) < \min\{2|S|, n\}$. Then:*

- (a) $v_g(S) \geq 2|S| - L_g(S)$.
- (b) *For each integer $x \in [2|S| - L_g(S), L_g(S)]$, there exists a subsequence T of S with length at least $2|S| - L_g(S)$ such that $\sigma(T) = xg$.*

Proof Lemma 2.2. Without loss of generality assume that $v_0(S) = h(S)$. Let

$$S = 0^{h(S)} T_1 T_2,$$

where T_1 is a zero-sum subsequence of S with nonzero terms and of maximum length, T_2 is zero-sum free.

Claim 1. $v_0(S) + |T_1| = h(S) + |T_1| \leq kn - 1$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $v_0(S) + |T_1| \geq kn$. If $|T_1| < kn$, then $0^{kn-|T_1|} T_1$ is a zero-sum sequence of length kn , yielding a contradiction. Next assume that $|T_1| \geq kn$, by Lemma A.1 we can find a zero-sum subsequence T'_1 of T_1 , such that $kn \geq |T'_1| \geq kn - v_0(S)$, and therefore $0^{kn-|T'_1|} T'_1$ is a zero-sum sequence of length kn , yielding a contradiction. This proves Claim 1. \square

By Claim 1 we have

$$|T_2| \geq n - t + 1 > \frac{n}{2}.$$

It follows from [Lemma A.2](#) that there exists $g \in G$, such that $\frac{n}{2} < L_g(T_2) < n$. Let $T_1 = g^w(b_1g) \cdot \dots \cdot (b_qg)$, where $2 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_q \leq n-1$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Claim 2. Suppose that b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_m} are m terms such that the integer X satisfies $X \equiv b_{j_1} + \dots + b_{j_m} \pmod{n}$ and $1 < X \leq L_g(T_2)$. Then $m \geq 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2)$ if $2|T_2| - L_g(T_2) \leq X \leq L_g(T_2)$ and $m \geq X$ if $1 < X < 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2)$.

Proof. Let $T'_1 = (b_{j_1}g) \cdot \dots \cdot (b_{j_m}g)$. Then $\sigma(T'_1) = L_g(T'_1)g = Xg$. Let $2|T_2| - L_g(T_2) \leq X \leq L_g(T_2)$. By [Lemma A.3](#), there is a subsequence T'_2 of T_2 with length at least $2|T_2| - L_g(T_2)$ such that $X = L_g(T'_2) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m b_{j_i} \pmod{n}$, hence $\sigma(T'_2) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{j_i}g = \sigma(T'_1)$. By the maximum of the length of T_1 , we have $m \geq |T'_2| \geq 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2)$. Similarly, if $1 < X < 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2)$ then Xg can be expressed as the sum of X terms equal to g of T_2 . The same argument as above gives $m \geq X$. This proves [Claim 2](#). \square

By [Claim 2](#) we infer that

$$b_j > L_g(T_2), \quad j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Indeed, if $1 < b_j \leq L_g(T_2)$ for some j then $1 \geq 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2)$ or $1 \geq b_j$, both of which are not true. Therefore $n - b_j < n - L_g(T_2) < \frac{n}{2}$, $j = 1, \dots, q$.

Claim 3. $L_g(T_2) + \sum_{j=1}^q (n - b_j) < n$.

Proof. We may assume that $q \geq 1$. Suppose that [Claim 3](#) is false, then $L_g(T_2) + \sum_{j=1}^q (n - b_j) \geq n$. Let $m \in [1, q]$ be the least integer such that there exist $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m \leq q$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m (n - b_{j_i}) + L_g(T_2) \geq n$. Let

$$X = n - \sum_{i=1}^m (n - b_{j_i})$$

under the assumption that $\sum_{i=1}^m (n - b_{j_i}) + L_g(T_2) \geq n$. Then $X \leq L_g(T_2)$. By the minimality of m we infer that

$$X + (n - b_{j_t}) > L_g(T_2) \quad \text{for every } t \in [1, m].$$

Then $X > L_g(T_2) - (n - b_{j_t}) > L_g(T_2) - (n - L_g(T_2)) = 2L_g(T_2) - n \geq 1$ and hence $1 < X \leq L_g(T_2)$.

First assume that $1 < X < 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2)$. [Claim 2](#) gives $m \geq X$. Recalling that $X + (n - b_{j_t}) > L_g(T_2)$, we have $n - b_{j_t} \geq L_g(T_2) + 1 - X > 0$ for $t = 1, \dots, m$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= X + \sum_{i=1}^m (n - b_{j_i}) \geq X + m(L_g(T_2) + 1 - X) \\ &\geq X + X(L_g(T_2) + 1 - X) = X(L_g(T_2) + 2 - X). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the quadratic function $f(t) = t^2 - (L_g(T_2) + 2)t + n$. We obtained $f(X) \geq 0$ for some $X \in \{2, \dots, 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2) - 1\}$. But the maximum of $f(t)$ on $\{2, \dots, 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2) - 1\}$ is $f(2) = n - 2L_g(T_2)$, and $n - 2L_g(T_2) < 0$. This is a contradiction.

Next assume that $2|T_2| - L_g(T_2) \leq X \leq L_g(T_2)$. By [Claim 2](#) we have $m \geq 2|T_2| - L_g(T_2) > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_g(T_2) + 1 &\leq X + (n - b_{j_m}) = n - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (n - b_{j_i}) \right) \leq n - (m - 1) \\ &\leq n - (2|T_2| - L_g(T_2) - 1) = (n - 2|T_2|) + L_g(T_2) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $n \geq 2|T_2|$, which yields a contradiction. This proves [Claim 3](#). \square

Recall that $T_1 = g^w(b_1g) \cdots (b_qg)$, where $2 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_q \leq n - 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since T_1 is zero-sum we have $w \equiv \sum_{j=1}^q (n - b_j) \pmod{n}$. By [Claim 3](#),

$$0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^q (n - b_j) < n \quad \text{and thus } q < n.$$

Let $w = rn + w'$, where $0 \leq w' \leq n - 1$. Then

$$w' = \sum_{j=1}^q (n - b_j) \geq q.$$

Hence $L_g(T_2) + w' = L_g(T_2) + \sum_{j=1}^q (n - b_j) < n$. Since $L_g(T_2) \geq v_g(T_2) + 2(|T_2| - v_g(T_2))$ and $w = v_g(T_1) = v_g(S) - v_g(T_2)$, we have

$$n - 1 \geq L_g(T_2) + w' \geq v_g(T_2) + 2(|T_2| - v_g(T_2)) + w - rn = 2(|T_2| + w) - v_g(S) - rn. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Also we have

$$kn - 1 \geq v_0(S) + |T_1| = v_0(S) + w + q \geq 2(v_0(S) + q) - v_0(S) + rn. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Adding [\(A.1\)](#) and [\(A.2\)](#) and noting that $v_0(S) + q + w + |T_2| = |S| = (k + 1)n - t$, we obtain that $v_g(S) + v_0(S) \geq (k + 1)n - 2t + 2$. Take $a = 0$ and $b = g$ and we are done.

Next assume that $1 < t < \frac{n+5}{3}$. Assume that $v_a(S) + v_b(S) \geq (k + 1)n - 2t + 2$ and $v_c(S) + v_d(S) \geq (k + 1)n - 2t + 2$. By [Claim 1](#) we infer that $v_g(S) \leq kn - 1$ for every $g \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, and hence $v_g(S) \geq n - 2t + 3$ for every $g \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. If $\{a, b\} \neq \{c, d\}$, without loss of generality assume that $c \notin \{a, b\}$, then $v_a(S) + v_b(S) + v_c(S) \geq (k + 1)n - 2t + 2 + (n - 2t + 3) > (k + 1)n - t = |S|$, yielding a contradiction. Therefore $\{a, b\}$ is the unique pair holding [\(2.1\)](#). \square

References

- [1] N. Alon, M. Dubiner, A lattice point problem and additive number theory, *Combinatorica* 15 (1995) 301–309.
- [2] A. Bialostocki, P. Dierker, D. Grynkiewicz, M. Lotspeich, On some developments of the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv theorem II, *Acta Arith.* 110 (2003) 173–184.
- [3] B. Bollobás, I. Leader, The number of k -sums modulo k , *J. Number Theory* 78 (1999) 27–35.
- [4] M. DeVos, L. Goddyn, B. Mohar, A generalization of Kneser’s addition theorem, *Adv. Math.* 220 (2009) 1531–1548.
- [5] Y. Edel, Sequences in abelian groups G of odd order without zero-sum subsequences of length $\exp(G)$, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 47 (2008) 125–134.
- [6] C. Elsholtz, Lower bounds for multidimensional zero sums, *Combinatorica* 24 (2004) 351–358.
- [7] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, A. Ziv, Theorem in the additive number theory, *Bull. Res. Council Israel* 10 (1961) 41–43.
- [8] Y.S. Fan, W.D. Gao, Q.H. Zhong, On the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant of finite abelian groups of high rank, *J. Number Theory* 131 (2011) 1864–1874.
- [9] Y.S. Fan, W.D. Gao, L.L. Wang, Q.H. Zhong, Two zero-sum invariants on finite abelian groups, *European J. Combin.* 34 (2013) 1331–1337.
- [10] W.D. Gao, Some problems in additive group theory and additive number theory, PhD thesis, Sichuan University, 1994.
- [11] W.D. Gao, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, *J. Number Theory* 58 (1996) 100–103.
- [12] W.D. Gao, On zero-sum subsequences of restricted size II, *Discrete Math.* 271 (2003) 51–59.
- [13] W.D. Gao, A. Geroldinger, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey, *Expo. Math.* 24 (2006) 337–369.
- [14] W.D. Gao, I. Leader, Sums and k -sums in abelian groups of order k , *J. Number Theory* 120 (2006) 1–7.
- [15] W.D. Gao, R. Thangadurai, On zero-sum sequences of prescribed length, *Aequationes Math.* 72 (2006) 201–212.
- [16] W.D. Gao, Y.X. Yang, Note on a combinatorial constant, *J. Math. Res. Exposition* 17 (1997) 139–140.
- [17] W.D. Gao, Q.H. Hou, W.A. Schmid, R. Thangadurai, On short zero-sum subsequences II, *Integers* 7 (2007), paper A21, 22 pp.
- [18] W.D. Gao, Y.L. Li, J.T. Peng, F. Sun, On subsequence sums of a zero-sum free sequence II, *Electron. J. Combin.* 15 (2008) R117, 21 pp.
- [19] A. Geroldinger, Additive group theory and non-unique factorizations, in: A. Geroldinger, I. Ruzsa (Eds.), *Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory*, in: *Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona*, Birkhäuser, 2009, pp. 1–86.
- [20] A. Geroldinger, F. Halter-Koch, Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory, *Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [21] A. Geroldinger, D.J. Grynkiewicz, W.A. Schmid, Zero-sum problems with congruence conditions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 131 (2011) 323–345.
- [22] Y.O. Hamidoune, A weighted generalization of Gao’s $n + D - 1$ theorem, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 17 (2008) 793–798.
- [23] H. Harborth, Ein Extremalproblem für Gitterpunkte, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 262 (1973) 356–360.
- [24] A. Kemnitz, On a lattice point problem, *Ars Combin.* 16 (1983) 151–160.
- [25] S. Kubertin, Zero-sums of length kq in \mathbb{Z}_q^d , *Acta Arith.* 116 (2005) 145–152.
- [26] A. Pixton, Sequences with small subsum sets, *J. Number Theory* 129 (2009) 806–817.
- [27] C. Reiher, On Kemnitz’ conjecture concerning lattice points in the plane, *Ramanujan J.* 13 (2007) 333–337.
- [28] S. Savchev, F. Chen, Long zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups, *Discrete Math.* 307 (2007) 2671–2679.
- [29] S. Savchev, F. Chen, Long n -zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups, *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008) 1–8.
- [30] W.A. Schmid, J.J. Zhuang, On short zero-sum subsequences over p -groups, *Ars Combin.* 95 (2010) 343–352.
- [31] P. Yuan, On the indexes of minimal zero-sum sequences over finite cyclic groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 114 (2007) 1545–1551.
- [32] X.N. Zeng, P.Z. Yuan, Weighted Davenport’s constant and the weighted EGZ theorem, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011) 1940–1947.